

# THE ALLIANCE NATIONAL MOOT COURT COMPETITION, 2016

## CLARIFICATION OF MOOT PROBLEM

24 November 2015

### **Paragraph 14 of the Moot Problem shall read thus:**

“In view of the contention raised by husband in the Family Court to the effect that he has right to custody of children even under Indian law, Saritha was advised to challenge the very law as being opposed to Constitution of India. Hence she filed a Writ Petition challenging validity of Sec.6 [a] of Hindu Minority & Guardianship Act 1956. Raman contested the same.”

### **Paragraph 17 of the Moot Problem shall read thus:**

Upon preliminary hearing, the Supreme Court framed the following issues:

- a. Whether the divorce petition and particularly the interim application for the custody of the children filed by Saritha in the Family Court at Mysuru are maintainable?
- b. Whether the order of the US Court is enforceable in India?
- c. Whether Sec.6 [a] of the Hindu Minority and Guardianship Act 1956 is constitutionally valid?